UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,115	03/25/2004	Michael A. Pouchak	H0005606-9952(1161.112610	6646
	7590 12/18/200 , INTERNATIONAL I	EXAMINER		
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			BOLES, DEREK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· .		3749	
				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	12/18/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

S

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/809,115	POUCHAK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Derek S. Boles	3749			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-10,12,13,15,17-23 and 25</u> is/are object to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction.	awn from consideration. Ire rejected. Ited to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/809,115

Art Unit: 3749

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4, 6-12, 15, 18-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Pouchak (6,647,302). See col. 13, line 30 to col. 14, line 47 and col. 15, line 61 to col. 16, line 2. Regarding claim 4, see col. 13, line 41. Regarding claims 6, 7 and 11, see 300. Regarding claim 25, see col. 14, lines 21-34.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shprecher et al. (5,042,431). See col. 4, line 53 to col. 5, line 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pouchak '302 in view of Pouchak (6,536,678). Pouchak '302 discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for equalizing the time in which the stages are active. Pouchak '678 discloses the presence of equalizing the time in which the stages are active. See claim 17. Hence, one skilled

Art Unit: 3749

in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Pouchak '302 to include the equalizing the time in which the stages are active of Pouchak '678 for the purpose of energy conservation.

Regarding claims 13, 14, and 23, Pouchak '302 discloses all of the limitations of the claims except for disabling a sub-method to activate/deactivate stages for various time periods. However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Pouchak '302.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that modulating various stages to operate at less than 100% is not shown in Pouchak, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Considering the broad nature of the independent claims, the above cited passage of Pouchak and more specifically col. 15, line 61 to col. 16, line 2, completely suffices in rendering these independent claims as obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of boiler control.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,115 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (CAL).

D.S.B.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

11/30/06